

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,003	02/11/2004	Norbert Hahn	29020/405A	3398
4743 75	590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			HARTMANN, GARY S	
6300 SEARS T	OWER			
233 S. WACKI	ER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	. 60606		3671	
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A Paration No.	A a a U a a a Ma				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/777,003	HAHN ET AL:				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary Hartmann	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oc	ctober 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodgers (U.S. Patent 2,881,457).

Rodgers discloses a dock leveler including a deck having right and left upper plates (64). There are a plurality of lower support beams (52, 54, 56) and a joint (not labeled, but shown at line 2-2 in Figure 1) between the right and left upper plates. There is a lip (76), but it is not movable. It is very well known in dock levelers to use a pivotally mounted lip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a pivotally mounted lip with Rodgers in order to avoid damage thereto from a truck, decrease storage space and improve the transition between a truck and the dock, as is common practice in the art.

There is a connecting bar (54, 56) disposed between the plates. While the dimensions of this bar are not configured as claimed in claim 3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used this configuration in order to achieve desired resistance to loading, as is common in structural engineering.

Weld beads are not disclosed; however, welding is a well known means of connection and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used weld beads along the joint of Rodgers in order to improve structural rigidity, for example.

There is a gap between the plates. Regarding the limitation of "variable gap," there is no disclosure in the specification of this gap that is not met by Rodgers.

Treads are well known and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a tread with Rodgers in order to increase safety, as is well known. That there is a gap between the plates is deemed to meet the recitation of "shifted out of registry."

The joint is substantially parallel to the support beams (52).

The joint is centrally located.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3671

gh